MODA
a STARLIMS company

Whitepaper

Understanding LIMS Capabilities vs. QC Microbiology Needs

Defining the Right Data Management Approach for Quality Control Microbiology

Quality control (QC) microbiology challenges are significant due to sample volumes that often exceed 100,000 samples per year, with some biopharma operations reaching one million samples annually. Effectively managing that workload, coupled with the complexities of microbiology methods, requires a data management approach designed specifically around those needs.

Oftentimes the platform choice is decided by an organization's IT department, with the decision centered on infrastructure standardization rather than the specific operational requirements of QC microbiology. This paper explores the key differences between a Laboratory Information Management System (LIMS) and a purpose-built microbiology data management solution, and what those differences mean for time-to-value, configuration, and total cost of ownership in an aseptic manufacturing environment.

Understanding the True Cost of Ownership

The total cost of a QC microbiology data management project depends heavily on how closely a platform's underlying architecture matches the operational realities of the lab. IT departments often favor leveraging existing infrastructure investments, with the expectation that what's already available is more cost-effective. What's not always factored in is how the QC microbiology operation's day-to-day workflow will be shaped by the platform's underlying architecture.

Because LIMS platforms gear more towards general laboratory operations rather than in-depth environmental monitoring, achieving an end-to-end QC microbiology workflow typically requires additional configuration. Organizations weighing this tradeoff are essentially deciding between adapting their processes to the platform's native capabilities or investing in the configuration work needed to extend functionality to the operation's specific needs.

QC microbiology testing is location-based, meaning all data associated with a sample collection traces back to the location at which it was taken. Many LIMS platforms support this kind of location-based architecture as part of their environmental monitoring modules. However, the degree to which this is available out-of-the-box (OOTB) versus requiring configuration varies by platform and by how much a LIMS implementation has invested in environmental monitoring (EM)-specific functionality.

For organizations using a general-purpose LIMS, this often means dedicating configuration time to build out the location-based data model so that it aligns the system with the way microbiology staff actually operate.

A platform purpose-built for EM is designed around that data model from the start. That configuration work carries its own validation considerations. Platforms purpose-built for environmental monitoring, like the MODA-EM, are designed as configurable, OOTB solutions specifically for this use case. LIMS platforms, by contrast, are typically extended through deeper, version-specific customization. Over the life of the system, this difference in validation approach is a meaningful driver of long-term cost of ownership.

Change management is another important factor in overall system cost. In QC microbiology, changes to sampling routines are common — frequencies for sample collection, new methods, and updated detection-limit specifications all need to be reflected in the system. How easily those changes can be made depends on the platform and how it was implemented. For example, some changes can be handled by business users through configuration, while others may require a system administrator or developer with deeper platform expertise. Given how frequently this type of change occurs in QC microbiology, it's worth evaluating, platform by platform, who can make routine changes and how much that costs over time.

Project timelines are a further consideration. LIMS project delivery times for QC microbiology can vary widely depending on the scope of configuration and customization required, and timelines exceeding a year aren't unusual for complex implementations. By comparison, a typical project timeline for a purpose-built solution like MODA-EM is around 6 months. Time-to-value is a real factor in overall project cost, and it's worth weighing alongside platform breadth when evaluating options.

It's also worth noting that this isn't strictly an either/or decision. Organizations already invested in an enterprise LIMS like STARLIMS don't need to choose between consolidation and speed — pairing STARLIMS with MODA-EM delivers the EM-specific data model and faster time-to-value without giving up the broader platform consolidation STARLIMS provides across the rest of the lab.

Enforcing Data Integrity

Careful review of FDA warning letters to pharmaceutical manufacturers reveals that regulators are citing deficiencies in managing microbiology data at higher rates. The reasons for this are multifold, but at the core, the complexity of the environmental monitoring process (schedule, sample, incubate, results entry, review, and approve) requires a system that can manage that process efficiently while meeting the data integrity standards expected in a regulatory audit. A central data integrity requirement for EM is the ability to collect data contemporaneously, at the time and place it's generated. Meeting that standard depends heavily on a platform's support for reliable offline data collection in the lab and on the manufacturing floor.

Aseptic manufacturing environments aren't always well-suited to a strong, uninterrupted Wi-Fi connection, which makes offline functionality an important consideration for contemporaneous data collection. MODA-EM was built with offline data collection as a core part of its design from the outset, specifically to support this requirement in aseptic environments.

Contemporaneous data collection also depends on real-time electronic data transfer to the data management system. This is where device interfaces matter: connection to common instruments such as non-viable particle counters, endotoxin detection systems, Total Organic Carbon detection instruments (TOC), and organism ID systems is supported OOTB or through configuration. MODA-EM has built and maintained a growing library of OOTB interfaces specifically for the instruments used in environmental and utility monitoring programs, which can reduce the integration work needed to move from manual transcription to direct electronic capture.

Using Data to Make Decisions

One of the most time-consuming and challenging parts of the environmental monitoring process is trend report generation. Trend reports are a critical way to monitor and assess how well an organization is controlling its aseptic manufacturing environment. With real-time access to data, trend analysis can support a proactive approach to intervention when a negative trend emerges.

The ability to spot these trends and act on them is one of the clearest benefits of a fully paperless system: capturing all variables in a single system supports efficient root-cause investigation and automatic, proactive notification of potential excursions. And when a regulatory auditor asks for trend analysis data, being able to produce it quickly is crucial. With MODA-EM, case trend analysis is measured in seconds rather than hours or days.

The depth and speed of trend analysis available varies by platform and by the design of its underlying data model. Many LIMS offer dashboards and analytics modules, though the effort required to build EM-specific trend views (like representing a sample, the personnel present at collection, and an organism ID against the action limit in one view), can differ depending on how natively the platform's data model supports location-based EM data versus requiring custom report development. MODA-EM was built with this exact requirement in mind, so EM-specific trend views are available without additional report-building.

An Integrated, Automated Laboratory

Pharmaceutical manufacturers are constantly working to increase profitability by improving operational efficiency and reducing overhead costs, while maintaining the highest levels of quality assurance. As such, many IT organizations are looking for ways to increase automation between dependent systems (QMS, ERP, LIMS) as well as sampling and testing devices.

MODA-EM was designed to provide a fully paperless approach to QC microbiology, including direct electronic data capture from devices specific to environmental monitoring. Its library of OOTB interfaces has continued to grow alongside industry and customer needs. And because MODA-EM's historical development has been centered on QC microbiology, new interfaces and capabilities for emerging instruments and methods are prioritized as core development.

Summary

QC microbiology is changing fast. Rapid, in-line and at-line testing methods now catch microbial contamination sooner, while sample workloads keep climbing as organizations push for higher cleanroom throughput. The MODA-EM Platform is built to keep pace with fast deployment, strong scalability, and a lower total cost of ownership. Interested in learning more? **Contact the MODA team** today.

Visit us at: **modatechplatform.com**

MODA
a STARLIMS company